Asynchronous demographic responses to Pleistocene climate change in Eastern Nearctic vertebrates

Abstract
Pleistocene climatic cycles altered species distributions in the Eastern Nearctic of North America, yet the degree of congruent demographic response to the Pleistocene among codistributed taxa remains unknown. We use a hierarchical approximate Bayesian computational approach to test if population sizes across lineages of snakes, lizards, turtles, mammals, birds, salamanders and frogs in this region expanded synchronously to Late Pleistocene climate changes. Expansion occurred in 75% of 74 lineages, and of these, population size trajectories across the community were partially synchronous, with coexpansion found in at least 50% of lineages in each taxonomic group. For those taxa expanding outside of these synchronous pulses, factors related to when they entered the community, ecological thresholds or biotic interactions likely condition their timing of response to Pleistocene climate change. Unified timing of population size change across communities in response to Pleistocene climate cycles is likely rare in North America.

Keywords
Coexpansion, community, comparative phylogeography, hABC, historical demography, population genetics, refugia, temperate region.

INTRODUCTION
Populations respond to climate change in only a few ways. If species distributions are mostly determined by abiotic factors, then population size will expand and contract as favourable climate and habitat expands or contracts (Vrba 1992). Population sizes and ranges may also remain relatively static if they adapt to climate change (Jump & Peñuelas 2005), either by heritable adaptations or alterations in behaviour (Wong & Candolin 2015). If climate change alters interactions among species, some population sizes may be reduced dramatically or taxa may even locally go extinct, which would coincide with changes in range distribution and overall population size (Northfield et al. 2013; Barraclough 2015). The direction and extent of these responses are therefore defined by the ability of each species to adapt, migrate and tolerate interspecific interactions (Weinstock et al. 2006; Hofreiter & Stewart 2009; Jackson et al. 2014; Jackson & Blois 2015).

Dramatic climate change during the Quaternary caused entire communities to be displaced when massive ice sheets expanded and contracted (Davis 1983; Diamond & Case 1986). Historical demography related to climate change using population genetic techniques has been examined for many individual species (Avise 2000; Fontanella et al. 2008; Brink & Castoe 2009; Ruane et al. 2015), but it remains unclear whether regional communities responded synchronously or asynchronously to the glacial cycles of the Pleistocene. Communities of species with similar physiological tolerances are predicted to respond to climate change as a cohesive unit (Hewitt 2000). However, previous research suggests that even closely related species in equivalent environments have expanded while others declined (Burbrink et al. 2008; Ruane et al. 2015), and similarly, models of population responses to future climate change scenarios predict that some species will expand while others will likely decline (Jump & Peñuelas 2005; Aitken et al. 2008; Bellard et al. 2012; Pearce-Higgins & Green 2014). Therefore, if taxa respond idiosyncratically to climate change and some species expand to occupy more areas whereas others decline, then entire communities should either move or disassemble (Blois et al. 2010; Jackson & Blois 2015).

The most recent, rapid and significant effect of global climate change occurred during the Quaternary, when ice sheets expanded and contracted altering both the environment and available land area. In the Eastern Nearctic (ENA), defined as the forested and coastal regions of the eastern USA, glacial advances beginning at ~2.59 Myr (Haug et al. 1999; Bartolli et al. 2005; Brierley & Fedorov 2010) extended as far south in the east to New York City and in the Midwest to south-central Illinois (Marshall et al. 2002; Gillespie et al. 2004).
Temperature changed rapidly, in some cases at the scale of 5–10° C within several decades (Rahmstorf 2002), and glaciers advanced and retreated on 23, 40 and 100 kyr cycles altering biomes and communities (Hays et al. 1976). Associated with the action of glacial cycling, entire communities shifted, disappeared or reassembled rapidly in response to even minor variation within the timing of these Pleistocene glacial oscillations (Aitken et al. 2008; MacDonald et al. 2008; Jackson & Blois 2015).

In the ENA, the actions of the glaciers and associated environmental changes should have reduced population sizes and forced species into southern refugia proximal to present-day south-eastern North America as they tracked favourable climates (Avise 1992; Hewitt 2004; Waltari et al. 2007). After the glaciers receded and climate ameliorated, species had the opportunity to colonise newly available habitats at northern latitudes and increase population size (Ferris et al. 1999; Hewitt 2000). The magnitude of population size change therefore depends on the scale of the refugial areas and ability for species to expand into newly available and suitable habitat. The situation should be reversed in cases where species respond positively to glacial development and negatively to increasing temperatures, while responses may not be directly associated with changing climate or even occur at the same time. Furthermore, population sizes may also change in response to the invasion or exclusion of other taxa responding to environmental change (Tylianakis et al. 2012; McCluney et al. 2012) or periodic episodes of species-specific pathogens or other antagonists (Ricklefs 2015).

If species responded to glacially induced climate change, estimates of overall population size trajectories using genetic coalescent techniques should indicate expansion or decline throughout the Pleistocene (Avise et al. 1988; Drummond et al. 2005). Genetic studies in the ENA have documented population expansion, contraction and stasis (Burbrink et al. 2008; Fontanella et al. 2008; Tollis et al. 2012; Ruane et al. 2015), yet to date, there have been no tests to examine the timing of community-wide population responses due to Pleistocene climate change in this region. In western North America, the population ranges of small-mammal species in communities responded idiosyncratically to climate change just during the last century (Moritz et al. 2008). However, over longer time scales, population sizes among species with similar physiologies and habitat requirements should respond concertedly to glacial–interglacial climatic cycling (Lessa et al. 2003). In drastic climate change scenarios at deeper time scales, it remains unknown if the timing and trajectory of population size change is synchronous within communities (Fig. 1).

Despite difficulty associating population size change with the specific actions of a particular glacial cycle, it is possible to detect instances of concerted response to climate change given community-wide historical demographics (Chan et al. 2014; Xue & Hickerson 2015). Using a coalescent model that implements a hierarchical-approximate Bayesian computational (hABC) approach for detecting synchronous demographic change across communities (Chan et al. 2014), we characterise Pleistocene population demography across snakes, lizards, mammals, birds, turtles, salamanders and frogs in the ENA (Supporting Information). We determine if population sizes within ENA communities expanded during the Pleistocene as predicted (Avise 1992; Hewitt 2004; Waltari et al. 2007). Using those taxa that show expansion, we estimate the degree of synchronicity among these populations and when taxon-specific pulses of expansion occurred. This study represents the first attempt to determine if population expansion within tetrapod groups in the ENA occurred synchronously relative to the glacial oscillations and climate change associated with the Pleistocene (Fig. 1).

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Datasets**

We compiled mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequences from 74 lineages of snakes, lizards, mammals, birds, turtles, salamanders and frogs among 58 species from GenBank (see Supporting Information) with 60% occupying ranges in formerly glaciated areas. We selected lineages from these taxa that were distributed almost exclusively in the ENA (Bailey 1995). We generated unbiased estimates of demography (Ho & Shapiro 2011) considering the Wright-Fisher model of an idealised population (Yang 2014), which assumes no geographical structure. Therefore, each lineage in our dataset did not contain multiple geographically monophyletic groups. We filtered out individuals or population structures that were distributed outside of the ENA. For species that exhibited multiple clades within the ENA, we split the datasets into separate lineages.

Although there are limitations of only using one mtDNA locus, the hABC model we employ accounts for the uncertainty associated with single-locus coalescent and mutational stochasticity while giving the benefit of ‘borrowing strength’ (Congdon 2001; Gelman et al. 2003) resulting from jointly analysing single-locus data for many species. This approach is analogous to greater statistical accuracy resulting from multilocus inferences from a single species (Chan et al. 2014; Xue & Hickerson 2015). In the method used here, species are free to have independent demographic histories (i.e. effective population sizes, expansion magnitudes and expansion times) that are modelled through global hyperprior distributions.

**Summary Statistics and Bayesian Skyline Plots**

For each of the lineages, we obtained estimates of the parameter θ (4N_eμ) and the following summary statistics: number of sites, number of transition/number of transversion, gamma, substitutions/generation, number of segregating sites, nucleotide diversity, number of haplotypes, haplotype diversity, Tajima’s D and the R2 population growth test (Tajima 1989; Harpending 1994; Beaumont et al. 2002; Ramos-Onsins & Rozas 2002). We used BEAST v1.8.1 (Drummond et al. 2012) to generate Bayesian skyline plots (Drummond et al. 2005), which estimate changes in effective population size (N_e) over time. To parameterise these models, we scaled time with mutation rates in generation times for each group of vertebrate (Supporting Information) using the HKY model of nucleotide substitution and the coalescent Bayesian skyline tree prior where the number of groups was adjusted based on sample size, and a piecewise-constant skyline model. We used
a strict clock and specified a prior distribution on the clock rate to account for uncertainty in the mutation rate using a lognormal distribution with a standard deviation of 0.1, uniform or point estimate (Supporting Information). We ran each of these datasets for a minimum of 50 million generations sampling every 5000th generation to yield effective sample sizes (ESS) for all parameters >200. Given the 95% credible intervals around median skyline plots, we estimated general trajectories of slopes (from a scale where the origin of the graph represents time 0 on the abscissa and current population size on the ordinate) as: (1) stable (not different from a slope of 0), (2) growing (negative slope) or (3) declining (positive slope). We further characterised these patterns visually to determine if the general trajectories changed throughout the history of the lineage. From these slopes we determined if growth profiles differed among taxonomic groups using an ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction in R (R Core Team 2015). We also correlated these slopes with the non-genealogical estimates of expansion.

Modelling community-wide demographic responses

We used an hABC method in a multi-taxon statistical framework, which enables community-level inference while allowing demographic parameters to vary independently across taxa. To infer community dynamics from the aggregated mtDNA

Figure 1 Schematic showing synchronous and asynchronous instantaneous expansion and contraction from the past to the present, where different taxa are represented by different colours and population size change is represented by the transition between small and large tubes. The grey dashed lines indicate the time when population sizes changed. In this study, we test models a–c.
datasets, we used the hABC approach developed by Chan et al. (2014) that estimates $\zeta$, the proportion of taxa in a community sample that coexpanded at a time $\tau_s$ generations in the past. This hyperparameter index $\zeta$ ranges from 0.0 (completely asynchronous times of expansion across the community sample) to 1.0 (a synchronous expansion time across the entire community sample). For intermediate models, $\zeta$ is proportional to the number of species from a sample that coexpand synchronously with $1 - \zeta$ conversely being proportional to the number of species that expand at independent times.

Following Chan et al. (2014), for each of the $n$ taxa, we used uniform priors for contemporary effective population sizes $N_i = \{N_1, \ldots, N_n\}$, expansion magnitudes $e_i = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ and independent or synchronous expansion times ($\tau_i = \{\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n\}$) or $\tau_i$, respectively). Specific priors included U (1–500 000 years) for $\tau_i$ and $\tau_s$, U ($5 \times 20x$) for $e_i$, and lineage-specific U for each $N_i$ (Supporting Information). Because $\tau$ and $N$ can vary across taxa, we estimated $\zeta$ to determine how many taxa were in a single pulse of demographic expansion. Because $\zeta$ can be misleading as it does not necessarily correspond to the overall variability in expansion times, we also estimated the overall mean expansion time $[E(\tau)]$, the coexpansion time ($\tau_s$) and the dispersion index of expansion times $[\text{Var}(\tau)/E(\tau)]$. Mutation rates were drawn from lineage-specific uniform priors (Supporting Information). As we are only estimating coexpansion proportions and time parameters, we filtered out datasets to exclude taxa that show contraction or stasis (Tajima’s $D$), respectively. Specific priors included U (5000–9000 years) for $\tau_s$, U ($500 \times 20x$) for $e_s$, and lineage-specific U for each $N_i$ (Supporting Information). Because $\tau$ and $N$ can vary across taxa, we estimated $\zeta$ to determine how many taxa were in a single pulse of demographic expansion. Because $\zeta$ can be misleading as it does not necessarily correspond to the overall variability in expansion times, we also estimated the overall mean expansion time $[E(\tau)]$, the coexpansion time ($\tau_s$) and the dispersion index of expansion times $[\text{Var}(\tau)/E(\tau)]$. Mutation rates were drawn from lineage-specific uniform priors (Supporting Information). As we are only estimating coexpansion proportions and time parameters, we filtered out datasets to exclude taxa that show contraction or stasis (Tajima’s $D > 0$), or those with Bayesian skyline plots with positive or 0 slopes.

We used the program hBayeSSC (Chan et al. 2014; https://github.com/UH-Bioinformatics/hBayeSSC) to generate 200 000 simulated datasets per tetrapod group ($1.46 \times 10^7$ simulated communities) based on sampling configurations identical to the observed data (i.e. number of individuals and sequence length, and locus type) and parameter values randomly drawn from the prior. For each tetrapod group, we calculated a vector $D_i$ characterising the community-scale summary statistics (the mean, variance, skewness and kurtosis in the number of haplotypes, haplotype diversity, nucleotide diversity and Tajima’s $D$ across lineages). Subsequently, the posterior distribution of the hyperparameters of interest (i.e. $\zeta$, $E(\tau)$, $\tau_s$ and $\text{Var}(\tau)/E(\tau)$) was sampled using standard ABC rejection sampling using the C program msReject (http://msbayes.sourceforge.net/). The hyperparameter values for the posterior correspond to the datasets with the 500 smallest Euclidean distances between $D_i$ and the observed summary statistic vector ($D_o$; Chan et al. 2014).

We used PCA and histograms of the Euclidian distances between the observed and the 5000 closest simulated summary statistic vectors to verify that the model generated the main features of observed data. After finding that the mammal data poorly fit the model due to the high variance in the number of haplotypes across lineages, we removed this summary statistic in the mammal dataset.

### Testing the influence of dispersal ability and expansion

We tested whether the variance in demographic histories among lineages could be explained by coarse-scale differences in dispersal ability. We assigned each lineage to a locomotion type (crawling, walking, jumping or flight) that characterises how adults of each organism most frequently move across the landscape (Supporting Information).

We generated a phylogeny with a representative from each lineage ($n = 72$) to account for the non-independence of lineage locomotion type in subsequent comparative analysis. Only two species (Pseudobranchus striatus and Apalone spinifera) used swimming as their predominant locomotion type, thus we excluded them from further comparative analyses. To estimate a phylogeny, we downloaded the most commonly available homologous mtDNA loci (Cytochrome b; Cytochrome oxidase subunit I and NADH dehydrogenase subunit II) from each lineage in our dataset. In some cases homologous loci were not available for a lineage, therefore, we used a closely related taxon; when sequence data were unavailable for lineages with multiple populations in ENA, we grafted the tip onto the tree (see below). Because of the difficulty in aligning mtDNA sequence across clades at this level of divergence, we estimated phylogenies for each clade independently. We inferred a phylogeny for each clade using Beast v1.8.1 (Drummond et al. 2012) using the following settings: GTR + G nucleotide substitution model, lognormal relaxed clock and Yule process speciation tree prior. For the ucld.mean.prior, we specified a lognormal distribution with a mean and standard deviation of 1 (mean in real space) because we wanted to estimate relative branch lengths and not absolute time. For all other priors we used the default settings. We ran the tree for 50 million generations and thinned every 5000; stationarity assessed in Tracer v.1.5 (Rambaut & Drummond 2007). We estimated the maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree from the posterior distribution of trees from each clade and grafted each MCC tree together, including missing phylogeographic lineages, to build a single tree using the bind.tree function and rescaled relative branch lengths in the R package ape (Paradis et al. 2004).

To broadly characterise the magnitude of $N_i$ change in each lineage, we fit median $N_i$ and time, obtained from the Bayesian skyline posterior distribution, to a linear model using the lm function in R (R Core Team 2015) to obtain the slope. We fit log-converted slope and locomotion type to a phylogenetic anova (Garland et al. 1993) using the aov.phylo function (aov.phylo(slope–locomotion type, phylogeny, nsims = 100)) in the R package Geiger (Harmon et al. 2008). We also determined if slope had significant phylogenetic signal using a randomised test of Blomberg’s K in Phytools (Blomberg et al. 2003; Revell 2012) and if tetrapod groups had significantly different slopes.

### RESULTS

#### Historical demography

All parameters in the Bayesian skyline plot analyses attained ESS values >200 showing that the MCMC sampling had reached stationarity and, given error estimates, yielded historical demographic changes discernible as expanding, contracting or stable through time (Fig. 2). All expansion and contraction events occurred throughout the Pleistocene across all groups,
although only 25% of the taxa showed some contraction. All metrics estimating population size change through time agreed; multiple coalescent measures (Tajima’s $D$, $R^2$) were correlated with slopes estimated from BSP ($r = 0.352–0.444$; all $P < 0.001$; Supporting Information).

**Figure 2** Combined Bayesian Skyline Plots showing expansion (red), expansion and contraction (green) and contraction (black) for each tetrapod group and combined groups scaled by the effective population size ($N_e$) and generation time ($t$) and years ($\times 1000$).

**hABC tests of synchronous expansions**

Before simulating population demographics of each tetrapod group, we determined that 10% of mammals, 0% birds, 50% of salamanders, 14% of snakes, 12.5% of lizards and 15% of...
frogs did not show expansion. Therefore, along with the turtle taxa, which were too few, we excluded these lineages from the hABC analysis (Supporting Information).

Using the hABC procedure, we estimated $\zeta$ to be 0.75, 0.57, 0.50, 0.56, 0.75 and 0.62 in the six-taxon assemblages corresponding to snakes, lizards, salamanders, frogs, mammals and birds, respectively (Fig. 3a). Comparing these with the dispersion index on expansion times (Fig. 3b) revealed consistent patterns. However, snakes showed an elevated dispersion index contrasting with the highest $\zeta$ estimate, which suggested that some outlier taxa expanded much earlier than the coexpansion pulse ($\tau_s = 49,373$ ybp; Fig. 3c). This outlier likely

---

Figure 3 The outcomes of hierarchical approximate Bayesian computational modelling for coexpansion times for each vertebrate group where (a) is the frequency of coexpansion ($\zeta$) priors and posteriors, (b) the dispersion index $[\text{Var}(\tau)/E(\tau)]$ priors and posteriors and (c) the density distributions for synchronous expansion times (solid lines) and overall expansion times (dashed lines).
corresponds to the Appalachian lineage of the ringneck snake (*Diadophis punctatus*; Fontanella et al. 2008), where their BSP suggests populations expanded in the mid-Pleistocene. Although mammals showed a strong pulse of coexpansion, as characterised by $\xi = 0.75$, this group had the lowest dispersion index with only minor differences between coexpansion times and overall mean expansion times (Fig. 3c), thereby suggesting that these mammal lineages had the strongest and most universal pulse of coexpansion, estimated at approximately 100 000 ybp.

These coexpansion pulses are estimated to have occurred in the Late Pleistocene in three of the assemblages (mammals, snakes and frogs; Fig. 3c). Mode estimates of coexpansion
different after correction for phylogeny ($DF = K$ slope has significant phylogenetic signal (Blomberg’s $\alpha = 0.001$), the six groups of tetrapods were not synchronously expanding. Mixed trajectories have been found among other organisms inhabiting the Nearctic (Lessa et al. 2003; Hewitt 2004; Guiher & Burbrink 2008; Tolllis et al. 2012), although modelling the history of community-wide demographic responses regionally has been heretofore unattainable. All key expansion or contraction events were associated with the latter half of the Pleistocene where glaciers cycled every 100 kyr in relation to orbital eccentricity and not obliquity (41 kyr) or precession (23 kyr; Muller & MacDonald 1997). Moreover, pulses of coexpansion in mammals, snakes and frogs were estimated to be associated with younger dates. In particular, the coexpansion pulse of snakes perhaps coincided with the end of the Last Glacial Maximum (Raymo 1994; Bintanja & van de Wal 2008), the current interglacial where population size in many vertebrates, including humans, typically increased (Hewitt 2004). Also, our hABC parameter estimates the nature of these distributions. In contrast, mode estimates of coexpansion times for birds, salamanders and lizards corresponded to older dates of 310 468 ybp, 267 220 ybp and 269 034 ybp, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

The Eastern Nearctic underwent dramatic environmental change during the Late Pleistocene, where glaciers covered much of the region and altered both the abiotic and biotic environments (Comes & Kadereit 1998; Kleman et al. 2010). Contrary to expectation, we do not find a uniform demographic response to climate change among community members. While trajectories of growth or decline were not shared among all species according to the BSP analyses, we find population expansion is the most common historical demographic trajectory in our ENA community sample (75%). Synchronous expansion was estimated in at least 50% of lineages within each group, indicating that both asynchronous and synchronous expansions are key factors influencing the assembly of communities through time. Mixed trajectories have been found among other organisms inhabiting the Nearctic (Lessa et al. 2003; Hewitt 2004; Guiher & Burbrink 2008; Tolllis et al. 2012), although modelling the history of community-wide demographic responses regionally has been heretofore unattainable. All key expansion or contraction events were associated with the latter half of the Pleistocene where glaciers cycled every 100 kyr in relation to orbital eccentricity and not obliquity (41 kyr) or precession (23 kyr; Muller & MacDonald 1997). Moreover, pulses of coexpansion in mammals, snakes and frogs were estimated to be associated with younger dates. In particular, the coexpansion pulse of snakes perhaps coincided with the end of the Last Glacial Maximum (Raymo 1994; Bintanja & van de Wal 2008), the current interglacial where population size in many vertebrates, including humans, typically increased (Hewitt 2004). Also, our hABC parameter estimates the nature of these distributions. In contrast, mode estimates of coexpansion times for birds, salamanders and lizards corresponded to older dates of 310 468 ybp, 267 220 ybp and 269 034 ybp, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

The Eastern Nearctic underwent dramatic environmental change during the Late Pleistocene, where glaciers covered much of the region and altered both the abiotic and biotic environments (Comes & Kadereit 1998; Kleman et al. 2010).
ecological changes throughout different glacial cycles promoted major demographic shifts among different phylogenetic groups.

Importantly though, our results generate new questions regarding what combinations of synchronous and asynchronous expansion and contraction mean with respect to species assembly, species interaction and the putative effects of climate change on community disassembly. Not all species within a particular group expand (Fig. 2); at least 25% of the taxa in our dataset declined in the face of putatively similar ecological conditions throughout the Pleistocene. These declines were most noticeable in mammals, where continuous expansion was not common among the majority of taxa (Fig. 2). These opposite responses, therefore, suggest that conditions beneficial to some taxa were not to others or that biotic interactions affected declining taxa differently than expanding species (Gilman et al. 2010; Lurgi et al. 2012; Jackson & Blois 2015). Our study suggests that communities may not respond uniformly to future climate change. Similarly, considering individual responses to climate change in communities over the last century (Moritz et al. 2008) and unique spatial and temporal responses of species occurring in refugia (Stewart et al. 2010), modern communities would likely be dissembled as some taxa decline to extinction, whereas others expand to new regions and interact in novel ways with local taxa.

Our results imply complex changes in community composition over time, with synchronicity ranging from 50 to 75% of the expanding species per taxonomic group. This conservatively suggests that each of these pulses of coexpanding taxa responded at the same time to similar changing ecological conditions. The remaining asynchronous expansions did not occur at the same time and perhaps not even to similar types of ecological changes. If we consider that the timing and rate of expansion or contraction of populations directly relates to the ability for taxa to be present in greater or fewer communities in the ENA, respectively, then these asynchronous expansion times are consistent with trends in the fossil record where community composition is altered rapidly (Jump & Peñuelas 2005; Aitken et al. 2008; Bellard et al. 2012; Pearce-Higgins & Green 2014). In contrast, biogeographic processes related to dispersal in and out of communities could determine the degree of expansion synchronicity (Pigot & Etienne 2015). For example, asynchronous expansion may have occurred in those species that dispersed to the ENA earlier or later than the synchronously expanding groups. Alternatively, if all taxa were assembled prior to any expansion, asynchronicity would mean that some lineages require different ecological thresholds before expansion takes place (Bazzaz 1986; Jackson et al. 2009). It is also possible that asymmetric biotic interactions among taxa either enhance or retard the timing of expansion and colonisation (Cavender-Bares et al. 2009), thus reducing the propensity for coextensive population growth.

Our results highlight several areas of exploration that connect processes related to demographic synchronicity with community assembly, biogeography and latitudinal diversity gradients. Expansion permits more species to occupy more areas geographically, whereas contraction may eliminate taxa entirely from local communities. Therefore, synchronously expanding species with the highest rate of growth should be found in more areas, whereas lineages with populations historically in decline should not be abundant among the communities in the particular region of study. Taxa showing demographic expansion are also those likely to occur throughout the focal region and thus possess traits to colonise and remain in more communities even when facing potential competition (Cavender-Bares et al. 2009; Lavergne et al. 2010). It is also important to determine if asynchronicity is associated with different dispersal and community colonisation times. We did not model spatially explicit simultaneous expansion and contraction, although doing this would determine if similar ecological changes produce opposite demographic trends in related taxa. Also, it is possible that using only mtDNA here could bias synchronicity estimates given potential problems with single-locus estimates of historical events due to coalescent stochasticity and selection (Hurst & Jiggins 2005; Brito & Edwards 2009; Hung et al. 2013). Future research on historical community demography should include a greater representation of taxa from a community, sample the genome to reduce uncertainty (Xue & Hickerson 2015) and explore the signal of synchronous demographic change vs. synchronous genomic selection (Nosil & Feder 2013).

Finally, we suggest that the hABC models presented here may be useful for understanding latitudinal gradients in species diversity, which may be explained in part by higher rates of extinction towards the poles (Mittelbach et al. 2007). Currently, most studies working on diversity gradients use the fossil record or molecular phylogenies to estimate rates of speciation and extinction among regions, (Ricklefs 2003; McPherson & Jetz 2007; Pyron & Burbrink 2009), even though rates of extinction are known to be difficult to estimate (Rabosky 2010). If extinction is the main cause of latitudinal diversity asymmetries, then extant communities should produce a signal where asynchronous expansion and contraction occurs more often in temperate areas than the expected uniformly expanding or stable populations in tropical regions.
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