Rising Up (350) by Baba Brinkman

I find it amazing, why is embracing the findings of science such a prime occasion for hiding away from reality, mentally hibernating, blind evasion, c'mon, it's time to face it! The climate is changing!

And it's anthropogenic, the evidence is internationally vetted, NASA's with it, Leon Panetta said it, and you think it's a set-up? I don't get it, seriously though. In your mind, how high does the conspiracy go?

I'm no physics graduate – I'm a scientific advocate, show me your methodology and how you fit the facts with it and if it's accurate I'll flip the gist into some raps and spit it passionate, and let the public interact with it.

So let's get the facts straight: the greenhouse gas elevation is man-made, and if the clathrates up in Alaska escape, the feedback will jack up the pace, and increase the average temperature by several degrees.

And those who disagree used to say tobacco's safe They used to advocate for CFCs in cans of spray but now it's hacker-gate, so next time someone tells you the hockey stick graph is fake, just back away

In the mind of a climate skeptic Science is a liberal conspiracy So we can't use scientific Guides to help them see That's why things are kinda septic Festerling politically Well I'm behind the consensus We need to stick to three fifty

Okay, so those in the pay of coal and petroleum say they're inclined to doubt, but all the pros say the science is sound, and if we can't put the fires out then islands will drown and we'll have violence and drought.

But the general public, especially those who bend republican, have generously ingested the anti-scientific rubbish propagated by the propaganda wing of the industrialists, hence the political obstruction.

I think I'll just stick with the scientific consensus, which says there's an upper limit of three hundred and fifty parts per million of atmospheric carbon, and any higher percentage is gonna come at the cost of our modern perks and benefits.
And that’s definitive, so let’s do what it takes,
scientists are now saying they can make nuclear safe.

Which will make a flower kid pitch a sour fit,
but if carbon counts have to come down a bit, and renewables
can’t carry the power grid, then either blackouts will hit,
or for now I guess nuclear’s our fix

Go read up on fast-breeder reactors,
and tell some hippies about them, just to see their reactions

In the mind of a climate skeptic
Science is a liberal conspiracy
So we can’t use scientific
Guides to help them see
That’s why things are kinda septic
Festering politically
Well I’m behind the consensus
We need to stick to three fifty

Climate deniers tend to believe in free markets,
but free markets lack mechanisms to reach targets
they’re just as likely to leave us knee deep in garbage
next to a sea full of carcasses, we need an armistice

An arms control agreement to keep our emissions below the ceiling
with no one cheating, which means global leaders will need to
impose increasing fees on those who keep blowing smoke
and use the proceeds to promote schemes for going green

And some of the answers aren’t difficult, burning charred vegetable
matter releases particulate black carbon that sticks in your throat,
while the carbon storage potential of forests
is formidable, and tree planting is marketable

So pull the fire alarm. Next time you meet a climate denier
with no firearm, try some dialogue, like:

Stop bein’ an obstacle and go spout the gospel
in a Pentecostal mental hospital you troglodytic
Australopithecus fossil, we’ll talk when you’ve
wiped the Fox News residue off the rim of your nostril

And if that doesn’t lead to productive action,
just keep it substantive, and leave the trash talk up to the rappers

In the mind of a climate skeptic
Science is a liberal conspiracy
So we can’t use scientific
Guides to help them see
That's why things are kinda septic
Festering politically
Well I'm behind the consensus
We need to stick to three fifty